

Winning Hearts and Minds through Development: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Afghanistan

Andrew Beath

Fotini Christia

Ruben Enikolopov

October 2013

Online Appendix

Sample Selection Procedures

The selection of the sample for the study proceeded in two stages. First, 10 districts were selected from 398 districts in Afghanistan to be included in the study. Second, 50 villages were selected in each of the 10 sample districts. The methods for these selections are described below.

Three main considerations guided the selection of sample districts:

1. *“New” NSP Districts.* In order to facilitate an experimental design, sample districts were selected from the 74 Afghan districts where NSP had not commenced prior to March 31, 2007. Randomization was infeasible outside this set of new districts either because the remaining districts were already fully covered by NSP, in the process of getting fully covered by NSP, or were not intended to be covered by the program at this phase.
2. *Security.* Reducing the security risk to enumerators and participants was of paramount concern when selecting the sample districts for the study. More formally, security conditions had to be judged satisfactory not just by the government and NATO coalition forces on the ground, but also by Harvard University’s human subjects committee. Security concerns that made the areas completely inaccessible for local enumerators eliminated 34 of the 74 new NSP districts from consideration for inclusion in the baseline survey. The selected districts were representative of the average security situation in the country excluding the southern really violent areas.
3. *Minimum of 65 Villages.* Procedures devised by the evaluation team to minimize adverse political or humanitarian consequences of the evaluation stipulated the inclusion of 50 villages per district in the study and the specification of an additional 15 non-evaluation villages for mobilization by NSP. This procedure limited eligibility for the study to districts with 65 villages or more, of which there were 23 new NSP districts.

Ten districts that satisfied all three criteria were included in the study: Balkh district in Balkh province; Khost Wa Firing in Baghlan; Sang Takht in Daykundi; Daulina district in Ghor province; Adraskan, Chisht-e Sharif, Gulran, and Farsi in Herat; and Hisarak and Sherzad in Nangarhar.¹

¹ The overall number of districts satisfying the criteria was eleven, but one of the districts was excluded from the study because of the delays in signing the contract between NSP and the NGO that was contracted for implementing the program.

In each of the ten sample districts, the NGO contracted for implementation of NSP was given responsibility for selecting the 50 sample villages to be included in the evaluation, with the understanding that the evaluation team would randomly select 25 of these villages for NSP mobilization.² In addition to the 50 sample villages, NGOs selected 15 additional villages in the district for participation in NSP, but which were not included in the evaluation. This was done in order to meet political or humanitarian imperatives dictating the prioritization of particular villages for NSP without jeopardizing the integrity of the empirical strategy for inference. The only constraint that was imposed on the selection of these 15 “priority” villages was that none of them appear in the list of 50 “sample” villages. In order to prevent contamination of the control group, the evaluation team took all feasible steps to ensure that the 15 priority villages did not overlap with the 25 NSP sample villages and, where GPS coordinates were available, that they were located a significant far away distance from them.

Survey Instruments

The baseline and first follow-up surveys were structured around four survey instruments that ascertained key information from male and female villagers and male and female village leaders. These surveys are explained in greater detail below:

1. *Male Household Questionnaire* (MHH). During the baseline survey, the MHH instrument was administered to ten randomly selected male heads-of-household in each sample village.³ For the first follow-up survey, enumerators were provided with a list of the ten baseline MHH interviewees and administered the MHH questionnaire to this person or, if unavailable, to a male member of the same household.
2. *Male Focus Group Questionnaire* (MFG). The MFG questionnaire was administered to a group of between six and nine key decision makers (which included village leaders and/or members of the village council) convened at the request of the enumerator. Enumerators administering the first follow-up survey were not asked to specifically request the participation of those persons

² This approach was adopted to ensure that the procedures of the evaluation didn't impose unnecessary logistical costs or complications for participating NGOs. It was also motivated by the assumption that allowing NGOs to select the sample villages would minimize the probability of sample villages being ineligible for participation in NSP due to small size, or which, for security, political, or other reasons, would otherwise create problems if surveyed and/or mobilized by NSP.

³ Households were randomly sampled in the baseline survey based on a skip-pattern sampling method, which provided a straightforward procedure for enumerators to follow and a random sample of households in areas considered free of periodicity.

who took part in the baseline MFG interview, although given the common method by which the focus groups were composed, there was overlap across the two surveys.

3. *Female Focus Group Questionnaire* (FFG). The FFG questionnaire was administered to a group of between six and nine women, who tended to be wives or other relatives of the village leaders and/or members of the village women's council. As with the MFG, first follow-up survey enumerators were not asked to explicitly seek the participation of baseline focus group members.
4. *Female Household Questionnaire / Female Individual Questionnaire* (FHH / FI). During the baseline survey, women who participated in the FFG questionnaire were interviewed individually for the female individual (FI) questionnaire. For the first follow-up survey, the decision was made to change the FI questionnaire to a female household (FHH) questionnaire, to be administered to the wife (or another senior women) of the MHH participant in each household. This change in survey procedure was made to ensure that a random sample of female villagers were surveyed in addition to the senior women of the village, so we opted for the additional data on average village women sacrificing the panel dataset on elite women.

Table A1: Comparison of NSP Evaluation Sample with Representative Sample of Afghanistan's Rural Population

Indicator	NRVA (Rural Households)			NSP Follow-up Survey			t-statistics
	Mean	S.E.	Obs.	Mean	S.E.	Obs.	
Age of Male Respondent	43.04	0.12	16,143	42.68	0.23	4,660	1.381
Income from Primary Source (<i>Afghanis</i>)	60,950	468	16,065	58,618	1155	4,554	1.872
Household Engaged in Agriculture	0.661	0.004	16,143	0.723	0.007	4,625	-7.950
Access to Electricity	0.280	0.004	16,121	0.304	0.007	4,656	-3.065
Last Child Born is Alive	0.994	0.001	9,861	0.975	0.004	1,736	4.938
Last Birth Delivered at Home	0.871	0.004	9,817	0.892	0.007	1,744	-2.541
Last Birth Delivered in Hospital	0.065	0.003	9,817	0.036	0.004	1,744	5.625

Table A2: Composition and Coverage of NSP Impact Evaluation Surveys

	Baseline Survey (September 2007)	Midline Survey (May -October 2009)	Endline Survey (May -October 2011)
Male Head-of-Household Questionnaire	4,895 in 500 villages	4,666 in 474 villages	4,354 in 447 villages,
Male Focus Group Questionnaire	5,334 participants in 500 villages	3,197 in 469 villages	2,835 in 415 villages
Female Focus Group Questionnaire	3,670 participants in 406 villages	2,792 in 424 villages	2,779 in 374 villages
Female Household Questionnaire	Not Conducted	4,234 in 431 villages	3,843 in 396 villages
Female Individual Questionnaire	3,398 in 406 villages	Not Conducted	Not Conducted

Due to deterioration in security conditions, 11 treatment and 15 control villages could not be surveyed during the midline survey. During the endline survey, 33 treatment and 26 control villages were not surveyed due to adverse security conditions. Cultural sensitivities precluded the administration of female household and female focus group questionnaires in an additional 21 control and 22 treatment villages at midline and in 24 control and 27 treatment villages at endline. In all cases, attrition was not related to the treatment status of the villages and differences between treatment and control groups in village-level attrition are not statistically significant.

Table A3: Number of Male Household Respondents at Baseline, Midline, and Endline Surveys

		Baseline Survey		Midline Survey		Endline Survey	
		Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control
Baseline Survey	Same Individual			1,354	1,341	1,046	1,132
	Same Household	2,486	2,492	185	155	201	211
	Same Compound			109	121	65	70
Midline Survey	Same Individual					1,434	1,478
	Same Household			2,367	2,299	188	182
	Same Compound					90	104
Endline Survey	Same Individual						
	Same Household					2,193	2,161
	Same Compound						

Note: Values represent number of male household questionnaires administered in each category. Boxes along the diagonal represent the number of questionnaires administered in the respective survey, while off-diagonal boxes represent the number of respondents that overlap in the respective category (same individual, household or compound) and the respective surveys.

Table A4: Treatment Effect Heterogeneity: Age

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Age*Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Age*Treatment Effect at Endline	Age	N	R-squared
A. Income, Consumption, and Employment							
Ln(Annual Household Income)	0.042 (0.055) [0.078]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.089 (0.064) [0.062]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	8,859	0.24
Ln(Annual Household Consumption)	0.027 (0.055) [0.045]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.011 (0.060) [0.065]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	8,324	0.22
Male Respondent is Unemployed	-0.105 (0.026)*** [0.041]**	0.002 (0.001)*** [0.001]**	0.045 (0.029) [0.043]	-0.001 (0.001)** [0.001]	0.004 (0.000)*** [0.001]***	8,932	0.26
Male Respondent is Employed in Subsistence Agriculture and Husbandry	-0.001 (0.038) [0.033]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.031 (0.030) [0.028]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.002 (0.000)*** [0.001]***	8,932	0.31
Summary Index	0.164 (0.048)*** [0.058]***	-0.003 (0.001)*** [0.001]***	-0.075 (0.048) [0.047]	0.002 (0.001)* [0.001]**	-0.005 (0.001)*** [0.001]***	8,977	0.17
B. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Male Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.085 (0.035)** [0.054]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.078 (0.032)** [0.046]*	-0.001 (0.001)** [0.001]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.001]	8,968	0.24
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.059 (0.035)* [0.029]**	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.033 (0.029) [0.028]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.000]	-0.001 (0.000)*** [0.000]***	8,933	0.17
C. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Female Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.076 (0.038)** [0.046]*	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.051 (0.021)** [0.029]*	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.001 (0.000) [0.001]	7,863	0.21
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.015 (0.035) [0.039]	0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.046 (0.017)*** [0.019]**	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.001 (0.000)*** [0.000]***	7,848	0.21
E. Perceptions of Government, Civil Society, and ISAF Soldiers by Male Respondents							
District Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.083 (0.035)** [0.032]**	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.034 (0.037) [0.038]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.001 (0.000) [0.000]*	8,487	0.27
Provincial Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.097 (0.038)** [0.052]*	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.057 (0.039) [0.043]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.001 (0.000)** [0.000]***	8,103	0.24
Central Government Officials Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.054 (0.036) [0.038]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.036 (0.041) [0.042]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	8,255	0.20
President of Afghanistan Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.056 (0.030)* [0.032]*	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.023 (0.036) [0.024]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.001 (0.000)** [0.000]**	8,643	0.20
Members of Parliament Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.107 (0.034)*** [0.032]***	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.011 (0.035) [0.031]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	8,592	0.22
Government Judges Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.082 (0.037)** [0.040]**	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.005 (0.035) [0.016]	0.001 (0.001) [0.000]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.001]	8,632	0.20
National Police Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.032 (0.034) [0.058]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.064 (0.032)** [0.038]*	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	8,823	0.21
NGO Employees Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.061 (0.039) [0.031]**	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.039 (0.039) [0.030]	0.001 (0.001) [0.001]**	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	8,664	0.18
ISAF Soldiers Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.007 (0.032) [0.037]	0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.002 (0.032) [0.015]	0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	7,937	0.21
Summary Measure	0.123 (0.050)** [0.062]**	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.039 (0.051) [0.045]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	8,969	0.24
F. Security Perception by Male Respondents							
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Improved in Past Two Years	0.128 (0.032)*** [0.046]***	-0.002 (0.001)** [0.001]**	0.066 (0.037)* [0.039]*	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.002 (0.000)*** [0.000]***	8,949	0.31
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Deteriorated in Past Two Years	-0.064 (0.023)*** [0.033]**	0.001 (0.000)** [0.000]***	-0.052 (0.026)** [0.031]*	0.001 (0.001)** [0.001]**	-0.001 (0.000)*** [0.000]***	8,949	0.32
Summary Measure	0.221 (0.056)*** [0.091]**	-0.003 (0.001)*** [0.001]***	0.140 (0.060)** [0.072]*	-0.002 (0.001)* [0.001]*	0.004 (0.001)*** [0.001]***	8,949	0.34
G. Security Perception by Female Respondents							
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago women feel more safe in working for NGOs or the government or attending training courses	0.072 (0.035)** [0.043]*	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.037 (0.024) [0.018]**	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	7,634	0.28
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago women feel less safe in working for NGOs or the government or	-0.045 (0.030)	0.000 (0.001)	-0.006 (0.022)	0.000 (0.001)	-0.000 (0.000)	7,701	0.36

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Age*Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Age*Treatment Effect at Endline	Age	N	R-squared
attending training courses	[0.028]	[0.001]	[0.019]	[0.000]	[0.000]		
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago teenage girls feel more safe when traveling to and from school or when socializing with other girls	0.074 (0.035)** [0.029]***	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.018 (0.028) [0.029]	0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	6,978	0.31
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago teenage girls feel less safe when traveling to and from school or when socializing with other girls	-0.040 (0.034) [0.038]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.012 (0.024) [0.024]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	7,009	0.36
Summary Measure	0.143 (0.060)** [0.055]***	-0.002 (0.001) [0.001]	0.029 (0.041) [0.020]	-0.001 (0.001) [0.001]	0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	7,740	0.32
H. Security Experience According to Male Respondents							
Village Has Experienced Attack in Past 12 Months	-0.026 (0.015)* [0.012]**	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]***	0.006 (0.022) [0.033]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.000]*	8,971	0.33
Village Has Experienced Attack by Anti-Government Elements in the Past Year	-0.032 (0.013)** [0.016]**	0.001 (0.000)** [0.000]***	-0.002 (0.019) [0.021]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.000]*	8,972	0.32
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity in Village During the Past Year	0.002 (0.012) [0.012]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	0.002 (0.013) [0.008]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	-0.000 (0.000) [0.000]*	8,959	0.23
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity on Roads Around District During the Past Year	-0.005 (0.013) [0.009]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	-0.009 (0.018) [0.010]	0.000 (0.000) [0.000]	-0.000 (0.000)** [0.000]***	8,959	0.20
Summary Measure	0.080 (0.056) [0.055]	-0.002 (0.001) [0.001]***	0.019 (0.063) [0.053]	-0.000 (0.001) [0.001]	0.002 (0.001)*** [0.001]***	8,976	0.33

Table A5: Treatment Effect Heterogeneity: Education

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Education *Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Education* Treatment Effect at Endline	Education	N	R-squared
A. Income, Consumption, and Employment							
Ln(Annual Household Income)	0.065 (0.030)** [0.033]*	-0.035 (0.037) [0.031]	0.007 (0.040) [0.038]	0.058 (0.043) [0.031]*	0.075*** (0.026)*** [0.023]***	8,335	0.25
Ln(Annual Household Consumption)	0.008 (0.032) [0.048]	-0.001 (0.040) [0.054]	0.006 (0.044) [0.032]	-0.004 (0.046) [0.043]	0.127 (0.027)*** [0.025]***	7,833	0.23
Male Respondent is Unemployed	-0.009 (0.014) [0.012]	0.014 (0.017) [0.012]	-0.021 (0.020) [0.023]	0.011 (0.022) [0.032]	-0.014 (0.012) [0.007]**	8,406	0.24
Male Respondent is Employed in Subsistence Agriculture and Husbandry	-0.056 (0.029)* [0.012]***	0.038 (0.033) [0.019]**	-0.007 (0.027) [0.023]	0.018 (0.028) [0.022]	-0.021 (0.019) [0.016]	8,406	0.29
Summary Index	0.066 (0.027)** [0.039]*	-0.049 (0.033) [0.029]*	0.030 (0.033) [0.031]	-0.008 (0.034) [0.028]	0.102 (0.022)*** [0.020]***	8,451	0.15
B. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Male Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.051 (0.021)** [0.044]	-0.004 (0.025) [0.038]	0.023 (0.026) [0.024]	-0.012 (0.026) [0.031]	0.032 (0.015)** [0.018]*	8,444	0.25
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.044 (0.020)** [0.018]**	0.011 (0.024) [0.020]	0.004 (0.019) [0.016]	0.015 (0.021) [0.020]	0.005 (0.014) [0.016]	8,411	0.17
C. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Female Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.052 (0.014)*** [0.025]**	0.023 (0.036) [0.052]	0.042 (0.014)*** [0.024]*	0.026 (0.028) [0.031]	0.032 (0.017)* [0.017]*	8,005	0.20
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.033 (0.016)** [0.028]	0.076 (0.038)** [0.043]*	0.023 (0.013)* [0.018]	0.057 (0.029)* [0.030]*	-0.012 (0.018) [0.025]	7,990	0.21
E. Perceptions of Government, Civil Society, and ISAF Soldiers by Male Respondents							
District Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.036 (0.027) [0.025]	0.031 (0.029) [0.027]	0.026 (0.031) [0.040]	0.008 (0.034) [0.040]	-0.021 (0.018) [0.016]	7,995	0.28
Provincial Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.033 (0.026) [0.043]	0.031 (0.028) [0.032]	0.077 (0.032)** [0.034]**	-0.059 (0.033)* [0.027]**	-0.015 (0.018) [0.021]	7,633	0.25
Central Government Officials Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.035 (0.027) [0.054]	0.015 (0.029) [0.045]	0.077 (0.039)** [0.029]***	-0.043 (0.039) [0.047]	-0.022 (0.019) [0.022]	7,780	0.21
President of Afghanistan Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.017 (0.023) [0.044]	0.033 (0.026) [0.036]	0.043 (0.027) [0.021]**	-0.016 (0.031) [0.029]	-0.028 (0.017)* [0.018]	8,134	0.21
Members of Parliament Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.049 (0.027)* [0.027]*	0.019 (0.030) [0.021]	0.058 (0.037) [0.032]*	-0.049 (0.040) [0.030]	-0.014 (0.020) [0.023]	8,075	0.23
Government Judges Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.026 (0.029) [0.034]	0.036 (0.033) [0.024]	0.071 (0.034)** [0.036]*	-0.049 (0.037) [0.030]*	0.008 (0.020) [0.027]	8,134	0.21
National Police Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	-0.007 (0.024) [0.047]	0.031 (0.026) [0.032]	0.030 (0.030) [0.029]	-0.022 (0.032) [0.044]	0.006 (0.017) [0.026]	8,306	0.22
NGO Employees Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.014 (0.028) [0.036]	0.045 (0.031) [0.024]*	0.018 (0.035) [0.033]	-0.002 (0.038) [0.041]	0.000 (0.020) [0.024]	8,153	0.18
ISAF Soldiers Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.044 (0.026)* [0.027]	-0.009 (0.029) [0.025]	0.066 (0.026)** [0.037]*	-0.040 (0.030) [0.034]	-0.004 (0.017) [0.013]	7,464	0.21
Summary Measure	0.059 (0.039) [0.072]	0.052 (0.042) [0.046]	0.106 (0.048)** [0.060]*	-0.061 (0.052) [0.062]	-0.018 (0.027) [0.035]	8,444	0.25
F. Security Perception by Male Respondents							
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Improved in Past Two Years	0.025 (0.024) [0.026]	0.038 (0.029) [0.033]	0.033 (0.030) [0.024]	0.008 (0.031) [0.023]	-0.022 (0.017) [0.016]	8,427	0.31
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Deteriorated in Past Two Years	-0.015 (0.019) [0.024]	-0.007 (0.022) [0.015]	-0.011 (0.025) [0.013]	0.017 (0.026) [0.025]	0.018 (0.012) [0.019]	8,427	0.33
Summary Measure	0.049 (0.045) [0.051]	0.048 (0.055) [0.041]	0.043 (0.054) [0.030]	-0.009 (0.056) [0.047]	-0.048 (0.029)* [0.034]	8,427	0.34
G. Security Perception by Female Respondents							
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago women feel more safe in working for NGOs or the government or attending training courses	0.030 (0.016)* [0.026]	0.067 (0.035)* [0.031]**	0.035 (0.019)* [0.018]**	-0.038 (0.033) [0.031]	-0.024 (0.020) [0.026]	7,774	0.28
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago	-0.046	0.052	-0.008	0.023	0.008	7,844	0.36

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Education *Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Education* Treatment Effect at Endline	Education	N	R-squared
women feel less safe in working for NGOs or the government or attending training courses	(0.014)*** [0.006]***	(0.034) [0.025]**	(0.017) [0.018]	(0.028) [0.031]	(0.018) [0.028]		
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago teenage girls feel more safe when traveling to and from school or when socializing with other girls	0.027 (0.016) [0.026]	0.038 (0.036) [0.031]	0.019 (0.019) [0.025]	-0.047 (0.033) [0.040]	-0.018 (0.021) [0.036]	7,070	0.30
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago teenage girls feel less safe when traveling to and from school or when socializing with other girls	-0.046 (0.017)*** [0.011]***	0.058 (0.038) [0.018]***	-0.012 (0.016) [0.022]	-0.003 (0.028) [0.035]	0.030 (0.019) [0.038]	7,103	0.36
Summary Measure	0.091 (0.028)*** [0.033]***	-0.033 (0.068) [0.055]	0.038 (0.030) [0.031]	-0.070 (0.051) [0.054]	-0.036 (0.033) [0.061]	7,883	0.32
H. Security Experience According to Male Respondents							
Village Has Experienced Attack in Past 12 Months	-0.007 (0.008) [0.008]	0.003 (0.010) [0.010]	-0.021 (0.016) [0.017]	0.005 (0.017) [0.014]	0.005 (0.006) [0.005]	8,446	0.33
Village Has Experienced Attack by Anti-Government Elements in the Past Year	-0.006 (0.006) [0.009]	0.002 (0.009) [0.007]	-0.011 (0.011) [0.011]	-0.005 (0.011) [0.011]	0.003 (0.005) [0.005]	8,448	0.32
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity in Village During the Past Year	0.006 (0.006) [0.008]	-0.005 (0.008) [0.007]	0.021 (0.015) [0.015]	-0.016 (0.016) [0.009]*	0.006 (0.004) [0.004]	8,435	0.24
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity on Roads Around District During the Past Year	-0.001 (0.008) [0.005]	0.005 (0.010) [0.014]	0.006 (0.015) [0.008]	-0.014 (0.015) [0.015]	0.003 (0.007) [0.007]	8,435	0.21
Summary Measure	0.016 (0.026) [0.037]	-0.018 (0.036) [0.043]	-0.002 (0.050) [0.045]	0.036 (0.050) [0.043]	-0.016 (0.019) [0.016]	8,451	0.34

Table A6: Treatment Effect Heterogeneity: Land Ownership

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Owns Land*Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Owns Land*Treatment Effect at Endline	Owns Land	N	R-squared
A. Income, Consumption, and Employment							
Ln(Annual Household Income)	0.027 (0.030) [0.039]	0.024 (0.039) [0.049]	-0.002 (0.030) [0.044]	0.070 (0.038)* [0.035]**	0.122 (0.026)*** [0.035]***	8,823	0.25
Ln(Annual Household Consumption)	0.032 (0.031) [0.033]	-0.033 (0.041) [0.034]	-0.002 (0.033) [0.024]	-0.011 (0.044) [0.044]	0.212 (0.026)*** [0.033]***	8,296	0.23
Male Respondent is Unemployed	0.001 (0.012) [0.016]	0.002 (0.016) [0.016]	-0.045 (0.018)** [0.026]*	0.051 (0.022)** [0.029]*	0.003 (0.013) [0.017]	8,895	0.23
Male Respondent is Employed in Subsistence Agriculture and Husbandry	-0.096 (0.024)*** [0.022]***	0.108 (0.030)*** [0.030]***	0.096 (0.019)*** [0.021]***	-0.131 (0.027)*** [0.033]***	0.203 (0.016)*** [0.035]***	8,895	0.34
Summary Index	0.059 (0.025)** [0.041]	-0.045 (0.030) [0.042]	-0.02 (0.025) [0.025]	0.052 (0.033) [0.035]	0.002 (0.021) [0.037]	8,940	0.15
B. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Male Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	-0.022 (0.022) [0.030]	0.104 (0.028)*** [0.023]***	0.027 (0.017) [0.013]**	-0.012 (0.021) [0.023]	0.053 (0.013)*** [0.013]***	8,931	0.25
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.037 (0.019)* [0.015]**	0.024 (0.024) [0.016]	0.011 (0.016) [0.014]	0.016 (0.020) [0.020]	0.013 (0.013) [0.012]	8,898	0.16
C. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Female Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.060*** (0.014)*** [0.025]**	-0.103 (0.072) [0.103]	0.049*** (0.012)*** [0.026]*	0.003 (0.062) [0.057]	0.055* (0.033)* [0.045]	8,031	0.20
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.045*** (0.014)*** [0.023]*	0.014 (0.072) [0.050]	0.040*** (0.011)*** [0.023]*	-0.016 (0.057) [0.056]	0.000 (0.033) [0.025]	8,016	0.21
E. Perceptions of Government, Civil Society, and ISAF Soldiers by Male Respondents							
District Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.060 (0.023)*** [0.015]***	-0.009 (0.026) [0.023]	0.019 (0.022) [0.035]	0.024 (0.027) [0.029]	-0.002 (0.016) [0.015]	8,454	0.28
Provincial Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.028 (0.023) [0.024]	0.033 (0.026) [0.022]	0.020 (0.023) [0.023]	0.014 (0.030) [0.014]	-0.01 (0.016) [0.011]	8,073	0.24
Central Government Officials Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.041 (0.023)* [0.023]*	0.000 (0.026) [0.022]	0.019 (0.023) [0.014]	0.034 (0.029) [0.006]***	-0.013 (0.017) [0.015]	8,231	0.20
President of Afghanistan Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	-0.001 (0.019) [0.026]	0.055 (0.023)** [0.029]*	-0.01 (0.019) [0.017]	0.052 (0.026)** [0.016]***	-0.036 (0.014)*** [0.015]**	8,615	0.20
Members of Parliament Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.048 (0.022)** [0.026]*	0.016 (0.026) [0.028]	-0.001 (0.023) [0.016]	0.027 (0.030) [0.013]**	-0.024 (0.018) [0.023]	8,564	0.22
Government Judges Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.033 (0.023) [0.016]**	0.023 (0.026) [0.030]	0.037 (0.024) [0.017]**	-0.011 (0.030) [0.032]	-0.010 (0.016) [0.018]	8,599	0.20
National Police Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	-0.021 (0.019) [0.035]	0.049 (0.022)** [0.017]***	0.002 (0.023) [0.026]	0.024 (0.027) [0.027]	-0.026 (0.015)* [0.015]*	8,788	0.21
NGO Employees Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.015 (0.022) [0.026]	0.041 (0.026) [0.030]	0.008 (0.022) [0.017]	0.006 (0.027) [0.026]	-0.029 (0.017)* [0.017]*	8,626	0.17
ISAF Soldiers Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.004 (0.023) [0.015]	0.042 (0.024)* [0.021]**	0.059 (0.019)*** [0.022]***	-0.031 (0.024) [0.024]	-0.010 (0.015) [0.013]	7,906	0.21
Summary Measure	0.048 (0.029) [0.033]	0.058 (0.034)* [0.033]*	0.032 (0.032) [0.028]	0.038 (0.042) [0.026]	-0.039 (0.023)* [0.021]*	8,932	0.24
F. Security Perception by Male Respondents							
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Improved in Past Two Years	0.041 (0.021)** [0.041]	0.012 (0.024) [0.032]	0.037 (0.023) [0.029]	0.010 (0.026) [0.030]	-0.002 (0.015) [0.020]	8,912	0.31
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Deteriorated in Past Two Years	-0.022 (0.016) [0.030]	0.006 (0.018) [0.022]	0.028 (0.019) [0.026]	-0.045 (0.019)** [0.023]*	0.022 (0.011)** [0.019]	8,912	0.33
Summary Measure	0.079 (0.038)** [0.085]	-0.001 (0.044) [0.060]	0.002 (0.042) [0.058]	0.067 (0.044) [0.058]	-0.030 (0.026) [0.043]	8,912	0.34
G. Security Perception by Female Respondents							
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago women feel more safe in working for NGOs or the government or attending training courses	0.040 (0.016)** [0.024]*	0.023 (0.059) [0.056]	0.021 (0.016) [0.012]*	0.050 (0.061) [0.060]	-0.030 (0.030) [0.042]	7,799	0.28

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Owns Land*Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Owns Land*Treatment Effect at Endline	Owns Land	N	R-squared
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago women feel less safe in working for NGOs or the government or attending training courses	-0.037 (0.014)*** [0.005]***	0.013 (0.052) [0.045]	-0.001 (0.015) [0.026]	-0.024 (0.054) [0.085]	-0.021 (0.028) [0.034]	7,869	0.36
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago teenage girls feel more safe when traveling to and from school or when socializing with other girls	0.033 (0.016)** [0.027]	0.030 (0.067) [0.058]	0.006 (0.018) [0.021]	0.020 (0.056) [0.029]	0.011 (0.035) [0.034]	7,095	0.30
Respondent Believes that compared to two years ago teenage girls feel less safe when traveling to and from school or when socializing with other girls	-0.034 (0.016)** [0.011]***	-0.056 (0.053) [0.069]	-0.010 (0.015) [0.022]	-0.044 (0.051) [0.045]	-0.021 (0.029) [0.032]	7,127	0.36
Summary Measure	0.084 (0.029)*** [0.029]***	0.062 (0.100) [0.055]	0.013 (0.025) [0.030]	0.095 (0.100) [0.127]	0.004 (0.049) [0.053]	7,908	0.32
H. Security Experience According to Male Respondents							
Village Has Experienced Attack in Past 12 Months	-0.008 (0.012) [0.012]	0.005 (0.013) [0.011]	-0.007 (0.013) [0.017]	-0.005 (0.014) [0.010]	0.008 (0.008) [0.009]	8,934	0.33
Village Has Experienced Attack by Anti-Government Elements in the Past Year	-0.003 (0.010) [0.010]	-0.002 (0.012) [0.010]	-0.006 (0.012) [0.009]	-0.009 (0.013) [0.009]	0.008 (0.007) [0.007]	8,935	0.32
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity in Village During the Past Year	0.001 (0.007) [0.007]	0.001 (0.008) [0.006]	0.013 (0.010) [0.010]	-0.008 (0.010) [0.004]*	-0.002 (0.006) [0.004]	8,922	0.24
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity on Roads Around District During the Past Year	0.005 (0.008) [0.010]	-0.004 (0.010) [0.009]	0.015 (0.012) [0.016]	-0.021 (0.013)* [0.015]	-0.004 (0.006) [0.005]	8,922	0.20
Summary Measure	0.006 (0.039) [0.054]	-0.002 (0.041) [0.033]	-0.023 (0.039) [0.036]	0.049 (0.041) [0.037]	-0.010 (0.024) [0.020]	8,939	0.33

Table A7: Treatment Effect Heterogeneity: Unemployment

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Unemployed*Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Unemployed*Treatment Effect at Endline	Unemployed	N	R-squared
A. Income, Consumption, and Employment							
Ln(Annual Household Income)	0.052 (0.019)*** [0.025]**	-0.220 (0.071)*** [0.068]***	0.033 (0.023) [0.040]	0.062 (0.054) [0.066]	0.150 (0.037)*** [0.062]**	8,826	0.25
Ln(Annual Household Consumption)	0.014 (0.017) [0.019]	-0.124 (0.078) [0.072]*	-0.022 (0.025) [0.014]	0.056 (0.053) [0.048]	-0.015 (0.038) [0.061]	8,290	0.22
Male Respondent is Employed in Subsistence Agriculture and Husbandry	-0.010 (0.014) [0.012]	-0.269 (0.030)*** [0.085]***	-0.021 (0.015) [0.015]	0.126 (0.022)*** [0.061]**	-0.293 (0.017)*** [0.076]***	8,945	0.35
Summary Index	0.053 (0.015)*** [0.018]***	-0.346 (0.052)*** [0.073]***	-0.012 (0.019) [0.024]	0.107 (0.039)*** [0.071]	-0.568 (0.028)*** [0.073]***	8,945	0.26
B. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Male Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.055 (0.013)*** [0.022]**	-0.114 (0.039)*** [0.025]***	0.01 (0.012) [0.014]	0.041 (0.026) [0.022]*	-0.041 (0.017)** [0.019]**	8,936	0.25
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.057 (0.012)*** [0.011]***	-0.072 (0.040)* [0.023]***	0.016 (0.010) [0.015]	0.021 (0.019) [0.019]	-0.034 (0.015)** [0.020]*	8,901	0.16
E. Perceptions of Government, Civil Society, and ISAF Soldiers by Male Respondents							
District Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.058 (0.014)*** [0.008]***	-0.010 (0.045) [0.045]	0.046 (0.015)*** [0.021]**	-0.059 (0.034)* [0.024]**	0.024 (0.025) [0.012]**	8,455	0.27
Provincial Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.058 (0.014)*** [0.025]**	-0.034 (0.048) [0.063]	0.031 (0.017)* [0.019]	-0.015 (0.035) [0.030]	0.026 (0.025) [0.027]	8,072	0.24
Central Government Officials Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.047 (0.015)*** [0.026]*	-0.020 (0.047) [0.046]	0.045 (0.017)*** [0.021]**	-0.017 (0.033) [0.033]	0.032 (0.024) [0.028]	8,225	0.20
President of Afghanistan Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.039 (0.012)*** [0.022]*	0.010 (0.041) [0.027]	0.029 (0.014)** [0.015]*	-0.024 (0.032) [0.020]	0.013 (0.022) [0.026]	8,610	0.20
Members of Parliament Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.064 (0.014)*** [0.025]**	-0.026 (0.049) [0.028]	0.025 (0.015) [0.020]	-0.038 (0.038) [0.033]	0.022 (0.024) [0.025]	8,561	0.22
Government Judges Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.058 (0.015)*** [0.027]**	-0.083 (0.049)* [0.043]*	0.025 (0.015) [0.011]**	0.027 (0.040) [0.028]	-0.021 (0.028) [0.022]	8,602	0.20
National Police Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.020 (0.014) [0.032]	-0.050 (0.045) [0.057]	0.028 (0.015)* [0.021]	-0.048 (0.032) [0.025]*	0.041 (0.021)* [0.030]	8,792	0.21
NGO Employees Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.048 (0.014)*** [0.021]**	-0.006 (0.046) [0.048]	0.018 (0.016) [0.006]***	-0.039 (0.039) [0.019]**	-0.022 (0.024) [0.037]	8,634	0.17
ISAF Soldiers Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.040 (0.014)*** [0.019]**	-0.054 (0.044) [0.038]	0.041 (0.012)*** [0.019]**	-0.008 (0.034) [0.030]	0.023 (0.019) [0.018]	7,910	0.21
Summary Measure	0.101 (0.021)*** [0.044]**	-0.065 (0.068) [0.060]	0.066 (0.021)*** [0.030]**	-0.049 (0.053) [0.027]*	0.032 (0.037) [0.046]	8,937	0.24
F. Security Perception by Male Respondents							
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Improved in Past Two Years	0.057 (0.014)*** [0.023]**	-0.075 (0.038)** [0.045]*	0.043 (0.015)*** [0.029]	0.009 (0.031) [0.026]	0.063 (0.019)*** [0.024]***	8,918	0.31
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Deteriorated in Past Two Years	-0.018 (0.009)* [0.019]	-0.002 (0.025) [0.016]	0.006 (0.012) [0.015]	-0.042 (0.023)* [0.015]***	-0.023 (0.016) [0.009]***	8,918	0.32
Summary Measure	0.085 (0.024)*** [0.050]*	-0.070 (0.061) [0.055]	0.035 (0.027) [0.045]	0.058 (0.054) [0.040]	0.095 (0.036)*** [0.032]***	8,918	0.34
H. Security Experience According to Male Respondents							
Village Has Experienced Attack in Past 12 Months	-0.006 (0.008) [0.010]	0.005 (0.014) [0.014]	-0.018 (0.011)* [0.014]	0.042 (0.020)** [0.017]**	-0.022 (0.012)* [0.002]***	8,939	0.33
Village Has Experienced Attack by Anti-Government Elements in the Past Year	-0.005 (0.007) [0.010]	0.005 (0.013) [0.008]	-0.018 (0.009)* [0.014]	0.029 (0.017)* [0.015]*	-0.013 (0.012) [0.003]***	8,940	0.32
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity in Village During the Past Year	0.003 (0.005) [0.007]	-0.005 (0.012) [0.011]	0.006 (0.006) [0.006]	0.005 (0.012) [0.011]	-0.014 (0.010) [0.009]	8,927	0.23
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity on Roads Around District During the Past Year	0.004 (0.004) [0.005]	-0.005 (0.020) [0.023]	0.001 (0.008) [0.011]	0.002 (0.017) [0.011]	-0.001 (0.013) [0.011]	8,927	0.19
Summary Measure	0.002 (0.029) [0.044]	0.024 (0.054) [0.060]	0.028 (0.029) [0.031]	-0.087 (0.049)* [0.049]*	0.062 (0.037)* [0.020]***	8,944	0.33

Table A8: Treatment Effect Heterogeneity: Subsistence Agriculture

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Subsistence*Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Subsistence*Treatment Effect at Endline	Subsistence	N	R-squared
A. Income, Consumption, and Employment							
Ln(Annual Household Income)	-0.025 (0.026) [0.052]	0.106 (0.037)*** [0.064]	0.085 (0.021)*** [0.037]**	-0.225 (0.051)*** [0.058]***	-0.192 (0.027)*** [0.063]***	8,826	0.26
Ln(Annual Household Consumption)	-0.013 (0.027) [0.033]	0.036 (0.036) [0.035]	-0.008 (0.022) [0.015]	-0.020 (0.048) [0.058]	-0.009 (0.025) [0.034]	8,290	0.22
Male Respondent is Unemployed	-0.008 (0.014) [0.018]	0.008 (0.018) [0.020]	-0.009 (0.011) [0.007]	-0.010 (0.021) [0.033]	-0.157 (0.011)*** [0.015]***	8,945	0.26
Summary Index	-0.056 (0.024)** [0.054]	0.13 (0.031)*** [0.075]*	0.058 (0.015)*** [0.021]***	-0.220 (0.033)*** [0.066]***	-0.506 (0.020)*** [0.067]***	8,945	0.30
B. Perceptions of Economic Situation by Male Respondents							
Respondent Perceives Household's Situation Has Improved in the Past Year	0.022 (0.019) [0.029]	0.047 (0.024)* [0.026]*	0.029 (0.013)** [0.014]**	-0.061 (0.026)** [0.030]**	0.017 (0.015) [0.028]	8,936	0.25
Respondent Expects Economic Welfare of Villagers to Improve Next Year	0.051 (0.018)*** [0.022]**	0.003 (0.025) [0.024]	0.026 (0.010)** [0.015]*	-0.031 (0.022) [0.014]**	0.010 (0.014) [0.008]	8,901	0.16
E. Perceptions of Government, Civil Society, and ISAF Soldiers by Male Respondents							
District Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.069 (0.019)*** [0.010]***	-0.019 (0.024) [0.011]*	0.034 (0.014)** [0.025]	0.001 (0.028) [0.026]	0.037 (0.017)** [0.013]***	8,455	0.28
Provincial Governor Acts For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.046 (0.019)** [0.017]***	0.017 (0.025) [0.019]	0.035 (0.016)** [0.022]	-0.034 (0.032) [0.044]	0.017 (0.017) [0.019]	8,072	0.24
Central Government Officials Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.038 (0.021)* [0.021]*	0.014 (0.026) [0.013]	0.046 (0.014)*** [0.018]**	-0.024 (0.036) [0.037]	0.020 (0.017) [0.020]	8,225	0.20
President of Afghanistan Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.034 (0.019)* [0.025]	0.012 (0.025) [0.025]	0.029 (0.012)** [0.013]**	-0.031 (0.031) [0.022]	0.031 (0.015)** [0.019]	8,610	0.20
Members of Parliament Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.066 (0.019)*** [0.027]**	-0.005 (0.024) [0.029]	0.017 (0.014) [0.019]	0.000 (0.031) [0.020]	0.021 (0.016) [0.019]	8,561	0.22
Government Judges Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.050 (0.022)** [0.014]***	0.006 (0.028) [0.037]	0.031 (0.015)** [0.010]***	-0.007 (0.035) [0.031]	0.036 (0.019)* [0.023]	8,602	0.20
National Police Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.005 (0.017) [0.024]	0.022 (0.021) [0.018]	0.019 (0.014) [0.023]	-0.005 (0.032) [0.033]	-0.005 (0.015) [0.017]	8,792	0.21
NGO Employees Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.039 (0.021)* [0.017]**	0.017 (0.026) [0.018]	0.003 (0.015) [0.012]	0.046 (0.033) [0.003]***	0.026 (0.018) [0.010]***	8,634	0.18
ISAF Soldiers Act For the Benefit of All Villagers	0.027 (0.019) [0.013]**	0.018 (0.025) [0.022]	0.039 (0.012)*** [0.011]***	-0.002 (0.028) [0.028]	0.019 (0.015) [0.005]***	7,910	0.21
Summary Measure	0.085 (0.028)*** [0.032]***	0.023 (0.034) [0.032]	0.057 (0.019)*** [0.030]*	-0.007 (0.044) [0.031]	0.046 (0.024)* [0.026]*	8,937	0.24
F. Security Perception by Male Respondents							
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Improved in Past Two Years	0.021 (0.021) [0.027]	0.056 (0.026)** [0.025]**	0.046 (0.016)*** [0.022]**	-0.013 (0.035) [0.042]	-0.027 (0.017) [0.014]**	8,918	0.31
Respondent Believes Security In and Around Village Has Deteriorated in Past Two Years	-0.011 (0.015) [0.020]	-0.012 (0.020) [0.013]	-0.001 (0.012) [0.013]	-0.003 (0.023) [0.023]	0.013 (0.013) [0.010]	8,918	0.32
Summary Measure	0.039 (0.039) [0.055]	0.073 (0.047) [0.035]**	0.047 (0.026)* [0.036]	-0.007 (0.053) [0.066]	-0.046 (0.029) [0.017]***	8,918	0.34
H. Security Experience According to Male Respondents							
Village Has Experienced Attack in Past 12 Months	0.004 (0.008) [0.010]	-0.017 (0.009)* [0.007]**	-0.004 (0.011) [0.019]	-0.035 (0.014)** [0.030]	0.023 (0.008)*** [0.009]**	8,939	0.33
Village Has Experienced Attack by Anti-Government Elements in the Past Year	0.001 (0.007) [0.010]	-0.009 (0.009) [0.007]	-0.007 (0.009) [0.011]	-0.028 (0.012)** [0.023]	0.016 (0.007)** [0.009]**	8,940	0.33
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity in Village During the Past Year	0.011 (0.007) [0.010]	-0.016 (0.009)* [0.009]*	0.011 (0.007)* [0.009]	-0.021 (0.008)*** [0.010]**	0.004 (0.005) [0.002]**	8,927	0.23
Respondent Household Has Been Affected by Insecurity on Roads Around District During the Past Year	0.008 (0.007) [0.010]	-0.008 (0.008) [0.009]	0.005 (0.007) [0.008]	-0.024 (0.011)** [0.011]**	0.000 (0.005) [0.005]	8,927	0.20

Variable	Treatment Effect at Midline	Subsistence* Treatment Effect at Midline	Treatment Effect at Endline	Subsistence* Treatment Effect at Endline	Subsistence	N	R-squared
Summary Measure	-0.042 (0.033) [0.048]	0.079 (0.035)** [0.026]***	-0.012 (0.029) [0.036]	0.127 (0.037)*** [0.079]	-0.054 (0.022)** [0.023]**	8,944	0.33